IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1983

NO. 83-1297

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

v.

KARL L. DAHLSTROM, ET AL., RESPONDENTS

RESPONDENT GAZE DURST'S STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO THE PETITION FOR CERTIORARI

Respondent Gaze Durst joins in the arguments and authorities presented by co-defendant Carl Dahlstrom in his Brief in Opposition, and in so doing, elects not to brief those issues separately.

DATED this 10th day of April, 1984.

Respectfully submitted,

Irwin H. Schwartz
Attorney for Respondent Durst

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Irwin H. Schwartz, do hereby certify that the attached document was mailed, postage prepaid, on April 10, 1984 by depositing same in the United States mail to the following counsel for the respective parties:

Hon. Rex. E. Lee
Solicitor General
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

David L. Botsford, Esq.
505 West 12th Street, #200
Austin, TX 78701

Kenneth E. Kanev, Esq.
460 Maynard Building
Seattle, WA 98104

Joseph A. Izen, Esq.
819 S.W. Freeway, #117
Houston, TX 77074

Merwin Grant, Esq.
3900 East Camelback, 310S
Phoenix, AZ 85018

DATED this 10th day of April, 1984.



Irwin H. Schwartz
Attorney for Respondent Durst

B

A92 April 27 Copy

RECEIVED
APR 12 1984
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1983

NO. 83-1297

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

v.

KARL L. DAHLSTROM, ET AL., RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Respondent Gaze Durst moves to proceed in this Court in forma pauperis pursuant to Rule 46 of this Court's rules. Respondent was represented by counsel appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3006(A), in both the trial court and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

DATED this 10th day of April, 1984.

Respectfully submitted,

Irwin H. Schwartz
Attorney for Respondent Durst